

# Orthogonal and Symplectic Black Box Groups, Revisited

Alexandre V. Borovik\*

February 1, 2008

## Abstract

We propose a simple one sided Monte-Carlo algorithm to distinguish, to any given degree of certainty, the symplectic group  $C_n(q) = \mathrm{PSp}_{2n}(q)$  from the orthogonal group  $B_n(q) = \Omega_{2n+1}(q)$  where  $q > 3$  is odd and  $n$  and  $q$  are given. The algorithm does not use an order oracle and works in polynomial, of  $n \log q$ , time.

This paper corrects an error in the previously published version of the algorithm [1].

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20P05.

## 1 Introduction

The aim of this paper is to correct an error in the previously published version [1] of a Monte-Carlo algorithm which distinguishes, to any given degree of certainty, the symplectic group  $C_n(q) = \mathrm{PSp}_{2n}(q)$  from the orthogonal group  $B_n(q) = \Omega_{2n+1}(q)$  where  $q > 3$  is odd and  $n$  and  $q$  are given. Namely we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1** *There is a polynomial time one sided Monte-Carlo algorithm which, when given a black box group  $G$  isomorphic to a simple classical group of type  $B_n$  or  $C_n$  defined over some field of given size  $q > 3$  and odd characteristic, can decide whether  $G$  is isomorphic to  $C_n$  or not. If  $G$  is  $C_n$  then, with probability  $> 1/2$ , the algorithm produces a witness against isomorphism  $G \simeq B_n(q)$ .*

The authors would like to thank Eamonn O'Brien and Gunter Malle who found an error in the original version of the algorithm (Lemma 2.4 of [1] was false). I am also grateful to Sukru Yalcinkaya who corrected a considerable number of typographic errors in the text.

We use the notation and results of [1].

### 1.1 Description of the algorithm: the easy case, $n$ is odd.

Recall that  $\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$  denotes the kernel of the spinor norm

$$\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}(q) \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\};$$

it is a subgroup of index 2 in  $\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}(q)$  and coincides with the commutator of  $\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}(q)$  if  $n \geq 2$  and  $q \geq 3$  (for details see, for example, Artin [2]). It is well known that  $\mathrm{PSp}_2(q) \simeq \Omega_3(q) \simeq \mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$  and  $\mathrm{PSp}_4(q) \simeq \Omega_5(q)$ . Hence it is obviously enough to give an algorithm for  $n \geq 3$ .

The easy case of the algorithm deals with the situation when  $n$  is odd, and the hard case is concerned with even  $n$ .

If  $x$  is an element of even order, we use notation  $i(x)$  for the involution in the cyclic group  $\langle x \rangle$ .

The structure of the algorithm in the easy case is the same as described in [1] and very simple.

---

\*Research supported by the Royal Society and Leverhulme Trust.

INPUT: A black box group  $G$  isomorphic to  $\mathrm{PSp}_{2n}(q)$  or  $\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ ,  $n \geq 3$  and  $q > 3$  given,  $n$  is odd.

OUTPUT: If  $G$  is  $\mathrm{PSp}_{2n}(q)$  then, with probability  $> 1/2$ , the algorithm produces a witness against isomorphism  $G \simeq \Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ .

- (1) Find an element  $x$  of *good order* (the definition is given below). As it was discussed in [1], this can be done in polynomial time.
- (2) Compute the involution  $i = i(x)$  in the cyclic group generated by  $x$ , this also can be done in polynomial time.
- (3) *This step is vacuous in the easy case.*
- (4) Compute  $y := i^g i^h$  for random elements  $g, h \in G$ . If  $o(y)$  divides  $q(q+1)$  or  $q(q-1)$  then go to Step 5. Otherwise return ' $G$  is  $\mathrm{PSp}_{2n}(q)$ ', with the pair  $(i^g, i^h)$  being a witness against the isomorphism  $G \simeq \Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ .
- (5) Repeat Step 4 at least  $20n$  times. If  $o(y)$  always divides  $q(q+1)$  or  $q(q-1)$  then return ' $G$  is not  $\mathrm{PSp}_{2n}(q)$ '. The error probability is  $< 1/2$  in this case.

The number of necessary repetitions in Step 5 is a very crude estimate, which was sufficient for our theoretical purposes. In practice, if  $G$  is of type  $C_n$  and  $q > 3$ , then one does get an element  $i^g \cdot i^h$  whose order does not divide  $q(q+1)$  or  $q(q-1)$  at the very first iteration.

## 1.2 Description of the algorithm: the hard case, $n$ is even.

Let  $p$  be a prime number  $p$ ,  $q = p^k$  and  $m \geq 2$ . A prime dividing  $q^m - 1$  but not  $q^i - 1$  for  $1 \leq i < m$  is called a *primitive prime divisor* of  $q^m - 1$ . It will be convenient to say that  $p$  is a primitive prime divisor of  $q^m + 1$  if  $p$  is a primitive prime divisor of  $q^{2m} - 1$ , see Lemma 2.2 for further discussion of primitive prime divisors. In our case primitive prime divisors always exist by Zsigmondy [7]. The primitive divisor rank  $\mathrm{pdrank}(g)$  is the maximal integer  $k$  such that  $o(g)$  is divisible by a primitive prime divisor of  $q^k - 1$ .

INPUT: A black box group  $G$  isomorphic to  $\mathrm{PSp}_{2n}(q)$  or  $\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ ,  $n \geq 3$  and  $q$  given,  $n$  is even (and hence  $q^n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ).

OUTPUT: If  $G$  is  $\mathrm{PSp}_{2n}(q)$  then, with probability  $> 1/2$ , the algorithm constructs a witness against the isomorphism  $G \simeq \Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ .

- (1) Find an element  $x$  of *good order* as in the easy case.
- (2) Compute the involution  $i = i(x)$  in the cyclic group generated by  $x$ .
- (3) Our next task is to make sure that  $i$  is an involution of type  $t_1$ ,  $t_{n-1}$  or  $t_n$ . See Table 1 for the list of conjugacy classes of involutions and their centralisers (the details are mostly taken from [5]).

For this purpose, construct (almost) uniformly distributed random elements of  $C_G(i)$  as explained in [1, Section 3]. The possibilities for  $C_G(i)$  are given in Table 1. Notice that the groups  $C_G(t_1)$ ,  $C_G(t_{n-1})$  (in the group  $G = \Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ ) and  $C_G(t_n)$  have much bigger orders of semisimple elements than centralisers of involutions of other types. Namely, in these three cases we can find in  $C_G(i)$ , with probability  $> O(1/n)$ , a 'big' element, that is, an element of order divisible by a prime primitive divisor of  $q^{n-1} \pm 1$ . However 'big' elements are not present in the centralisers of involutions of other types. As soon as a 'big' element in  $C_G(i)$  is found, we conclude that  $i$  is of type  $t_1$ ,  $t_{n-1}$  (in the group  $G = \Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ ) or  $t_n$  and move to Step 4. If we cannot find a 'big' element, we return to Step 1 and start our search for an involution of type  $t_1$ ,  $t_{n-1}$  or  $t_n$  from the beginning.

| Involution $t_i \setminus$ Group $G$                                                                | $\mathrm{PSp}_{2n}(q)$                             | $\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$                                                                                                                                                                    |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| $t_1$                                                                                               | $\mathrm{Sp}_2(q) \circ \mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2}(q)$     | $\mathbb{Z}_{q\pm 1} \times \Omega_{2n-1}(q)$                                                                                                                                         |
| $t_i$<br>$2 \leq i \leq n/2$ (in $\mathrm{PSp}_n(q)$ )<br>$2 \leq i < n-1$ (in $\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ ) | $\mathrm{Sp}_{2i}(q) \circ \mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2i}(q)$ | $\Omega_{2i}^+(q) \times \Omega_{2(n-i)+1}(q)$<br><i>(i even)</i><br>$\Omega_{2i}^-(q) \times \Omega_{2(n-i)+1}(q)$<br><i>(i odd and <math>q^i \equiv -1 \pmod{4}</math>)</i>         |
| $t_{n-1}$ (in $\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ )                                                                  |                                                    | $\Omega_{2n-2}^+(q) \times \mathrm{PSL}_3(q)$<br><i>(n is odd)</i><br>$\Omega_{2n-2}^-(q) \times \mathrm{PSL}_3(q)$<br><i>(n is even and <math>q^{n-1} \equiv -1 \pmod{4}</math>)</i> |
| $t_n$<br>$q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$                                                                      | $\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{GL}_n(q)$                      | $\Omega_{2n}^+(q)$                                                                                                                                                                    |
| $t_n$<br>$q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$                                                                     | $\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{GU}_n(q^2)$                    | $\Omega_{2n}^-(q)$                                                                                                                                                                    |

Table 1: Involutions in groups  $\mathrm{PSp}_{2n}(q)$  and  $\Omega_{2n}(q)$ ,  $n$  even. The second and the third column show the generalised Fitting subgroup  $F^*(C_G(t_i))$  of  $C_G(t_i)$  in the groups  $\mathrm{PSp}_{2n}(q)$  and  $\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ , correspondingly. In all cases  $C_G(t_i)/F^*(C_G(t_i))$  is an elementary abelian group of order  $\leq 4$ .

- (4) Now we want to make sure that we found an involution of type  $t_n$ . For that we compute  $\text{pdrank}(ii^g)$  for  $20n$  random elements  $g$ . We know from Lemmata 2.8, 2.10 and 2.12 that if  $i$  is not of type  $t_n$ , or if  $i$  is of type  $t_n$  and  $G = \Omega_{2n+1}(q)$  then  $\text{pdrank}(ii^g) \leq 6$ . Hence if we found  $g \in G$  such that  $\text{pdrank}(ii^g) > 6$  then we have a definite witness against  $G$  being the orthogonal group. In this case we return ‘ $G$  is  $\text{Sp}_{2n}(q)$ ’.

If we have not found an element  $g$  with  $\text{pdrank}(ii^g) > 6$ , we can return to the search from Step 1.

- (4bis) **SHORTCUTS.** However, there are some shortcuts which give a quicker, although not one-sided solution.

- (4i) It follows from Lemma 2.12 that if  $\text{pdrank}(ii^g) \leq 6$  for  $O(n)$  random elements  $g \in G$  and, for some  $g$ ,  $\text{pdrank}(ii^g) > 4$  then  $i$  is likely to be an involution of type  $t_{n-1}$  in the orthogonal group  $\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ .

- (4ii) It follows from Lemmata 2.10 and 2.12 that if  $\text{pdrank}(ii^g) \leq 4$  for  $O(n)$  random elements  $g \in G$  and, for some  $g$ ,  $\text{pdrank}(ii^g) > 2$  then  $i$  is likely to be an involution of type  $t_1$  in the orthogonal group  $\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ .

- (4iii) It follows from follows from Lemmata 2.10 and 2.12 that if  $\text{pdrank}(ii^g) \leq 2$  for  $O(n)$  random elements  $g \in G$ , then  $i$  is likely to be an involution of type  $t_1$  in  $\text{Sp}_{2n}(q)$  or of type  $t_n$  in  $\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ . Since the centralisers of these involutions have very different structure (see Table 1), a further distinction between the two cases can be made by running a black box for  $C = C_G(i)$ . The following steps allow to detect a normal subgroup  $\text{SL}_2(q)$  in  $C = C_{\text{Sp}_{2n}(q)}(t_1)$ .

- \* Choose  $\varepsilon = \pm 1$  so that  $q^{n-1} - \varepsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . Randomly search in  $C$  for an element  $x$  of order divisible by 4 and by a primitive prime divisor of  $q^{n-1} - \varepsilon$ . If such an element cannot be found after  $O(n)$  attempts,  $i$  is likely to be an involution of type  $t_n$  in  $\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ .
- \* Once the element  $x$  is found, compute an element  $y$  of order 4 in  $\langle x \rangle$ .
- \* Compute  $O(n)$  elements  $yy^c$  for random  $c \in C$ . If, for all of them,  $o(yy^c) \mid q(q \pm 1)$  then  $i$  is likely to be an involution of type  $t_1$  in  $\text{PSp}_{2n}(q)$  (and  $y$  belongs to the  $\text{SL}_2(q)$ -component of  $C_G(i)$ ).
- \* If, however,  $o(yy^c) \nmid q(q \pm 1)$  for some  $c \in C$  then  $i$  is likely to be an involution of type  $t_n$  in  $\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ .

- (5) If we returned to Step 1 more than  $20n$  times and have not found a witness against the isomorphism  $G \simeq \Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ , we conclude that  $G \simeq \Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ .

## 2 Tori and semisimple elements in groups $B_n$ and $C_n$

Definitions and notation used in the paper are standard and borrowed from Carter [3, 4] and Gorenstein, Lyons and Solomon [5]. We retain the notation and use the results of [1]. We use the description of maximal tori in groups  $B_n$  and  $C_n$  as given in [1, Section 2.1].

### 2.1 Tori of order $\frac{1}{2}(q^n \pm 1)$ .

The crucial steps of our algorithm are aimed at finding involutions belonging to tori  $\frac{1}{2}T_{q^n \pm 1}$  twisted by an element of the cycle type  $\pm n$ . We have to distinguish the following cases:

- (i)  $q^n \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ , i.e.  $n$  odd and  $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ .
- (ii)  $q^n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , i.e.  $n$  even or  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

In the first case the maximal tori of order  $\frac{1}{2}(q^n + 1)$  contain involutions, in the second case the maximal tori of order  $\frac{1}{2}(q^n - 1)$  contain involutions.

We call tori  $\frac{1}{2}T_{q^n \pm 1}$  *maximal twisted tori*.

We are looking for involutions belonging to maximal twisted tori. For that purpose, we introduce the concept of *2-height*. Let  $X$  be a group and  $x \in X$  such that  $o(x) = 2^k m$  where  $m$  is odd. Then  $k$  is called the *2-height of  $x$* . The 2-height of  $X$  is the maximum of heights of elements in  $X$ .

**Lemma 2.1** *If  $T$  is a torus of type  $\frac{1}{2}T_{q^n \pm 1}$  then*

$$|N_G(T) : T| = 2n.$$

*Proof.* See [1, Lemma 2.3]. □

## 2.2 Primitive prime divisors.

Let  $p$  be a prime number  $p$  and  $m \geq 2$ . A prime dividing  $p^m - 1$  but not  $p^i - 1$  for  $1 \leq i < m$  is called a *primitive prime divisor of  $p^m - 1$* . In our case primitive prime divisors always exist by Zsigmondy [7]. Furthermore an integer  $j$  is called a *ppd( $p; m$ )* if  $j \mid p^m - 1$  and  $j$  is divisible by a primitive prime divisor of  $p^m - 1$ . Let  $q = p^e$ . A primitive prime divisor of  $p^{em} - 1$  will be called, for brevity, a *ppd( $q, m$ )*. An element of  $G$  of order dividing a *ppd( $q, n$ )* is called a *primitive prime divisor element*.

The role of primitive prime divisors becomes apparent from the following simple lemma.

**Lemma 2.2** (i) *Let  $r$  be a ppd( $q, n$ ). If  $r \mid q^m + 1$  for  $m < n$ , then  $n$  is even and  $m = n/2$ .*

(ii) *Let  $r$  be a ppd( $q, 2n$ ). Then  $r \nmid q^m \pm 1$  for all  $m < n$  and  $r \nmid q^n - 1$ .*

*Proof.* (i) Assume that  $r \mid q^m + 1$  for some  $m < n$ . This means that  $r \mid q^{2m} - 1$  and the Galois field  $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2m}}$  has a multiplicative subgroup  $R$  of order  $r$ . But the Galois field  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  also contains a multiplicative subgroup of order  $r$ . Since the algebraic closure  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$  contains a unique field of every given order, the intersection  $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2m}} \cap \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  is well defined. The inclusion  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n} < \mathbb{F}_{q^{2m}}$  because this would mean  $n \mid 2m$ , contradicting our assumption  $m < n$ . The equality  $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2m}} = \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  leads to the desired conclusion  $m = n/2$ . Finally, we are left with the case when  $\mathbb{F}_{q^k} = \mathbb{F}_{q^{2m}} \cap \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  is a proper subfield of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ . But then the multiplicative group of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}$  contains  $R$ , a unique subgroup of order  $r$  in the both  $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2m}}$  and  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ , and therefore  $r \mid q^k - 1$ , a contradiction.

(ii) follows from (i); we need only notice that  $r$  is odd, and, since  $q^n - 1$  and  $q^n + 1$  have no odd divisors in common,  $r \nmid q^n - 1$ . □

## 2.3 Good elements.

Let  $P$  be a maximal twisted torus of even order and let  $|P| = 2^k m$  where  $k$  and  $m$  are natural numbers and  $m$  is odd. We say that an element  $g \in P$  is of *maximal 2-height*, if the 2-height of  $g$  is  $k$ . Since  $P$  is cyclic, elements of maximal 2-height exist. Furthermore half of the elements of  $P$  have maximal 2-height as the cyclic group generated by a 2-element  $x$  of maximal 2-height contains  $2^{k-1}$  elements of maximal 2-height, namely  $x^s$  for  $s$  an odd integer.

In the following we are looking for elements of maximal 2-height in a maximal twisted torus. We call  $g$  *good* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- $\text{pdrank}(g) = 2n$  when  $q^n \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$  and  $\text{pdrank}(g) = n$  when  $q^n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .
- $g$  has maximal 2-height.

We shall call good elements belonging to maximal twisted tori in  $G$  *good twisted elements*.

**Lemma 2.3** *The number of good twisted elements in  $G$  is at least  $\frac{1}{5n}|G|$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $T$  be a maximal twisted torus in  $G$ . By Lemma 2.1  $|N_G(T)/T| = 2n$ . Therefore there are  $\frac{|G|}{2n|T|}$  tori conjugate to  $T$ . Let  $r$  be a  $\text{ppd}(q, n)$  or  $\text{ppd}(q, 2n)$ , depending on whether  $T$  is of type  $\frac{1}{2}T_{q^n-1}$  or  $\frac{1}{2}T_{q^n+1}$ , and denote by  $T^{2r}$  the unique subgroup of index  $2r$  in  $T$ . Then any element whose image in  $T/T^{2r}$  generate  $T/T^{2r}$  is a good element. It is easy to see that the number of such elements in  $T$  is  $\frac{r-1}{2r}|T|$ . Also, it follows from Lemma 2.2 that a good element does not belong to a proper subtorus of  $T$  and thus belongs to a unique twisted torus. Now we can estimate the total number of good elements in all twisted tori as at least

$$\frac{|G|}{2n|T|} \cdot \frac{r-1}{2r}|T| = \frac{1}{4n} \cdot \frac{r-1}{r}|G| \geq \frac{1}{5n}|G|,$$

since, obviously,  $r \geq 5$ . □

## 2.4 Regular twisted elements.

A regular element in a torus of type  $\frac{1}{2}T_{q^n \pm 1}$  or will be called a *regular twisted* element. Notice that good elements in these tori are regular twisted elements.

**Lemma 2.4** *The number of regular twisted elements in  $G$  conjugate to an element in a torus  $T$  of the given type  $\frac{1}{2}T_{q^n-1}$  or  $\frac{1}{2}T_{q^n+1}$  is at least  $\frac{1}{4n}|G|$ .*

*Proof:* See [1, Lemma 2.5]. □

## 2.5 Involutions of type $t_n$ in $\text{PSp}_{2n}(q)$ .

For the following compare the description of conjugacy classes of involutions in  $\text{PSp}_{2n}(k)$  and  $\text{SO}_{2n+1}(k)$  as given in Theorems 11.52 and 11.53 of Taylor [6] and Section 4.5 of [5].

The group  $\text{PSp}_{2n}(q)$  has  $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$  conjugacy classes of involutions. Let  $s \in \text{Sp}_{2n}(q)$  represent an element of order 2 in  $\text{PSp}_{2n}(q)$ . Then either  $s^2 = -I$  or  $s^2 = I$ . All involutions which are represented by an element  $s$  such that  $s^2 = -I$  form one conjugacy class of involutions of type  $t_n$ . Furthermore for every even number  $2 \leq m \leq n$  there is one conjugacy class of involutions of type  $t_{m/2}$ . If  $s$  is an involution of type  $t_{m/2}$ , then the eigenvalues of  $s$  on the underlying natural module are  $-1$  with multiplicity  $m$  and  $1$  with multiplicity  $2n - m$ .

**Lemma 2.5** *Let  $G := \text{PSp}_{2n}(q)$  and  $i \in G$  an involution of type  $t_n$ . If  $H$  is a torus of  $G$  then there exists an involution  $j \in i^G$  such that  $H$  is inverted by  $j$ .*

*Proof.* See [1, Lemma 2.6]. □

**Lemma 2.6** *Let  $G := \text{PSp}_{2n}(q)$  and  $i \in G$  be an involution of type  $t_n$ . Then  $i^g i^h$  is a regular twisted element of odd order with probability  $p \geq \frac{1}{20n}$  for random  $g, h \in G$ .*

*Proof.* See [1, Lemma 2.7]. □

As an immediate corollary we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.7** *If  $i$  is an involution of type  $t_n$  in  $G = \text{PSp}_{2n}(q)$  then the share of elements  $g \in G$  such that the element  $ii^g$  is of odd order is at least  $\frac{1}{20n}$ .*

*Proof:* See [1, Lemma 2.8]. □

## 2.6 Involutions of type $t_n$ in $\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ .

The group  $\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$  has  $n$  conjugacy classes of involutions. There is one conjugacy class of involutions of type  $t_{m/2}$  for each even number  $2 \leq m \leq 2n$ . An involution  $s$  is of type  $t_m$  if the eigenvalues of  $s$  on the underlying natural module are  $-1$  with multiplicity  $m$  and  $1$  with multiplicity  $2n + 1 - m$ .

**Lemma 2.8** *Let  $G := \Omega_{2n+1}(q)$  and  $i \in G$  an involution of type  $t_n$ . Then the element  $i^g \cdot i^h$  acts trivially on a subspace of codimension 2 in the natural module for  $G$ . In particular,  $o(i^g i^h) \mid q(q+1)$  or  $o(i^g i^h) \mid q(q-1)$  for all  $g, h \in G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $V$  be the natural module for  $G$ . Since  $i$  is of type  $t_n$  the dimension of the eigenspace  $V_-$  of  $i$  for the eigenvalue  $-1$  is  $2n$ , while the eigenspace  $V_+$  for the eigenvalue  $+1$  is 1-dimensional. Therefore  $i^g i^h$  belongs to  $C = C_{\text{SL}(V)}(V_-g \cap V_-h)$  and  $W = V_-g \cap V_-h$  has codimension  $\leq 2$  in  $V$ . Notice that  $C/O_p(C)$  is a subgroup of  $\text{SL}_2(q)$  (here  $p = \text{char } \mathbb{F}_q$ ). Notice also that the element  $i^g i^h$  leaves invariant the subspace  $V_+g + V_+h$ . The result now easily follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.9** *Let  $G := \Omega_{2n+1}(q)$  and  $i \in G$  an involution of type  $t_n$ . Then the share of elements  $g \in G$  such that the element  $ii^g$  is of odd order is at least*

$$\frac{1}{4(1 + 2/q + o(1/q))}.$$

*Proof:* We use notation of the previous lemma with  $W = V_- \cap V_-g$  and  $U = V_+ + V_+g$ . Let  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Then a direct computation with the help of information about finite orthogonal geometries contained in Section III.6 of Artin [2] shows that, with probability

$$\rho > \frac{1}{4(1 + 2/q + o(1/q))},$$

the subspace  $U$  is nondegenerate and has index 0. Hence the group  $\langle i, i^g \rangle$  is isomorphically imbedded into  $O(U) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{q+1} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$  and half of the elements  $ii^g$  have odd order, yielding the desired estimate. The case  $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$  can be treated analogously.  $\square$

## 2.7 Involutions of type $t_1$ in $\text{PSp}_{2n}(q)$

**Lemma 2.10** *If  $i$  is an involution of type  $t_1$  in  $\text{PSp}_{2n}(q)$  then*

$$o(i^g i^h) \mid q(q \pm 1).$$

*Proof:* Similarly to the previous section, a preimage in  $G = \text{Sp}_{2n}(q)$  of the involution  $i$  (which we denote by the same symbol  $i$ ) is a ‘low dimensional’ involution, that is, the eigenspace  $V_-$  has dimension 2 or  $n - 2$ . Assume that  $\dim V_- = 2$ , the other case is similar. Then, for random  $g, h \in G$ , the group  $\langle i^g, i^h \rangle$  leaves the subspaces  $V_-g + V_-h$  and  $V_+g \cap V_+h$  invariant and  $V = (V_-g + V_-h) \oplus (V_+g \cap V_+h)$ . Denote  $W = V_-g + V_-h$ . Notice that  $\langle i^g, i^h \rangle < \text{Sp}(W)$ .

We have three possibilities:  $\dim W = 2, 3$  or  $4$ . If  $\dim W = 2$  the  $i^g = i^h$ . If the restriction of the symplectic form to  $W$  is degenerate (this automatically holds when  $\dim W = 3$ ) then  $\text{Sp}(W)/O_p(\text{Sp}(W)) \simeq \text{Sp}_2(q) = \text{SL}_2(q)$ , and the lemma follows. If  $\dim W = 4$  and the restriction of the symplectic form to  $W$  is non-degenerate then  $\text{Sp}(W) = \text{Sp}_4(q)$  and  $i^g$  and  $i^h$  are involutions of type  $t_1$  in  $\text{Sp}(W)$ . Now the result follows from an easy computation in  $\text{Sp}_4(q)$ .  $\square$

Moreover, the same analysis yields the following result.

**Lemma 2.11** *If  $i$  is an involution of type  $t_1$  in  $\text{PSp}_{2n}(q)$  then the share of elements in  $G$  such that  $ii^g$  is of odd order is at least  $1/4$ .*

## 2.8 Involutions of type $t_1$ and $t_{n-1}$ in $\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$

**Lemma 2.12** *Let  $i$  be an involution of type  $t_1$  or  $t_{n-1}$  in  $G = \Omega_{2n+1}(q)$  and  $g$  is a random element in  $G$ .*

- (i) *If  $i$  is of type  $t_1$  then  $\text{pdrank}(ii^g) \leq 4$ . Moreover,  $\text{pdrank}(ii^g) > 2$  with probability bounded from below by a constant.*
- (ii) *If  $i$  is of type  $t_{n-1}$  then  $\text{pdrank}(ii^g) \leq 6$ . Moreover,  $\text{pdrank}(ii^g) > 2$  with probability bounded from below by a constant.*
- (iii) *If  $i$  is of type  $t_1$  or  $t_{n-1}$  then the element  $ii^g$  has odd order with probability bounded from below by a constant.*

The experimental evidence suggests that the constants in (i) and (ii) are  $1/8$  for  $t_1$  and  $1/4$  for  $t_{n-1}$ .

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the previous result. □

## 2.9 Involutions produced by good elements.

**Lemma 2.13** *Let  $i$  be the involution in a maximal twisted torus  $T < G$ . Then  $i$  is of type  $t_n$ .*

*Proof.* See [1, Lemma 2.13(1)]. □

We shall use the following corollary from this result and Lemma 2.3.

**Lemma 2.14** *Let  $g$  be a random element in  $G$ . Then the involution  $i$  produced by  $g$  has type  $t_n$  with probability at least  $1/5n$ .*

Finally,

# 3 Detailed description of the algorithm

## 3.1 Step 1: search for a good element $x$ .

The algorithm spends most of its timing testing if elements are good. This is done exactly as described in [1, Section 4].

It easily follows from Lemma 2.3 that a sample of  $N$  random elements of  $G$  contains a good element with probability

$$1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{5n}\right)^N > 1 - e^{-\frac{N}{5n}}.$$

Therefore if we are given fixed margin of error  $\epsilon$ ,  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ , and take a sample of size  $O(n \log(1/\epsilon))$ , then we can be satisfied that it contains a good element with probability  $1 - \epsilon$ . As proven in [1], production of a random element and testing it for goodness require polynomial time.

## 3.2 Step 2: involution $i = i(x)$ .

As soon as we have a good element  $x$  and know that it is an element of maximal 2-height in a cyclic group of order  $\frac{1}{2}(q^n \pm 1)$ , the involution  $x = i(x)$  can be obtained by raising  $x$  to the power  $\frac{1}{4}(q^n \pm 1)$ . As discussed in [1], this requires polynomial time. Lemma 2.13 asserts that  $i$  is of type  $t_n$ .



### 3.3 Step 3: involution of type $t_n$ .

Notice that if  $n$  is odd then the involution  $i$  is of type  $t_n$ .

However, if  $n$  is even then  $i$  can happen to be of different type.

We can check whether  $i$  is of type  $t_n$  or not by constructing uniformly distributed random elements  $\zeta_1(x)$  of  $C_G(i)$  as described in [1, Section 3]. If  $i$  is of type  $t_1, t_{n-1}$  (in  $\Omega_{2n}^+(q)$ ) or  $t_n$  then, in view of Lemmata 2.11, 2.12 and 2.9, this method produces (in polynomial of  $n \log q$  time) random and uniformly distributed elements of  $C_G(i)$ . Let  $L = F * (C_G(i))$ . Depending on whether  $i$  is one of the  $t_1, t_{n-1}$  (in  $\Omega_{2n}^+(q)$ ) or  $t_n$ ,  $L$  contains 'big' elements, that is, elements of order divisible by a primitive prime divisor of  $q^{n-1} - 1, q^{n-1} + 1, q^{n-1} + 1$  (compare Table 1 and Table 2). It can be observed directly from the formulae for orders in Table 2 that centralisers of involutions of other types do not contain 'big' elements.

|                                               |                                                                        |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| $ \mathrm{GL}_k(q) $                          | $q^{k(k-1)/2}(q-1)(q^2-1)\cdots(q^k-1)$                                |
| $ \mathrm{PSp}_{2k}(q)  =  \Omega_{2k+1}(q) $ | $\frac{1}{(2,q-1)}q^{k^2}(q^2-1)(q^4-1)\cdots(q^{2k}-1)$               |
| $ \mathrm{P}\Omega_{2k}^+(q) $                | $\frac{1}{(4,q^k-1)}q^{k(k-1)}(q^2-1)(q^4-1)\cdots(q^{2k-2}-1)(q^k-1)$ |
| $ \mathrm{P}\Omega_{2k}^-(q) $                | $\frac{1}{(4,q^k+1)}q^{k(k-1)}(q^2-1)(q^4-1)\cdots(q^{2k-2}-1)(q^k+1)$ |
| $ \mathrm{GU}_k(q^2) $                        | $q^{k(k-1)/2}(q+1)(q^2-1)(q^3+1)(q^4-1)\cdots(q^k-(-1)^k)$             |

Table 2: Orders of some classical groups, [3, pp. 2–8].

It is easy to see that 'big' elements are regular elements in maximal tori of orders  $\frac{1}{2}(q \pm 1)(q^{n-1} \pm 1)$  or  $\frac{1}{2}(q^n + 1)$ . Using the same technique as in Sections 2.1–2.3, we can see that the probability of a random element to be 'big' is  $O(1/n)$  and hence one of them has to pop up from the black box for  $C_G(i)$  after  $O(n)$  iterations. As soon as we have found a 'big' element, we know that  $i$  is of type  $t_1, t_{n-1}$  (in  $\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ ) or  $t_n$  and can go to Step 4.

If a 'big' element cannot be found after  $O(n)$  tests of elements from  $C_G(i)$ , then to Step 1 and start the search for an involution of type  $t_1$  or  $t_n$  from the beginning.

### 3.4 Step 4.

Now we want to make sure that we found an involution of type  $t_n$  in  $\mathrm{PSp}_{2n}(q)$ . For that we compute  $\mathrm{pdrank}(ii^g)$  for  $20n$  random elements  $g$ . We know from Lemma 2.6 that if  $i$  is of type  $t_n$  in  $\mathrm{PSp}_{2n}(q)$ , then, with probability  $> 1 - e^{-1}$ , the primitive divisor rank of one of the elements  $ii^g$  is at least  $2n$ . We know from Lemmata 2.8, 2.10 and 2.12 that if  $i$  is not of type  $t_n$ , or if  $i$  is of type  $t_n$  and  $G = \Omega_{2n+1}(q)$  then  $\mathrm{pdrank}(ii^g) \leq 6$ . Hence if we found  $g \in G$  such that  $\mathrm{pdrank}(ii^g) > 6$  then we have a definite witness against  $G$  being the orthogonal group. In this case we return ' $G$  is  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$ '.

If we have not found an element  $g$  with  $\mathrm{pdrank}(ii^g) > 6$ , we can return to the search from Step 1.

The estimate of the number of elements  $ii^g$  needed in this step is, of course, very crude; an experimental evidence suggests that, instead of  $20n$  elements  $ii^g$ , 8 elements suffice.

SHORTCUTS in Step 4 are mostly self-explanatory.

### 3.5 Step 5.

The involution  $i$  of type  $t_n$  behaves very differently in  $\mathrm{PSp}_{2n}(q)$  and  $\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ . Namely, in  $\mathrm{PSp}_{2n}(q)$  an element  $i^g i^h$  for random  $g, h \in G$  is a regular twisted element with probability  $\geq \frac{1}{20n}$  (Lemma 2.6), while in  $\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$  we always have  $o(i^g i^h) \mid q(q \pm 1)$  (Lemma 2.8). Obviously, a regular twisted element cannot have order dividing  $q(q \pm 1)$ . As soon as we have that  $o(i^g i^h)$  does not divide  $q(q \pm 1)$ , the pair  $(i^g, i^h)$  is a witness against the isomorphism  $G \simeq \Omega_{2n+1}(q)$  and in that case  $G \simeq \mathrm{PSp}_{2n}(q)$ .

On the other hand, if in  $20n$  tests we had the same result  $o(i^g i^h) \mid q(q \pm 1)$ , then  $G \simeq \Omega_{2n+1}(q)$  with probability  $\geq 1 - e^{-1}$ . Timing estimate here is very crude and can be considerably improved. In practice, if  $G \simeq \mathrm{PSp}_{2n}(q)$  then  $o(i^g i^h) \nmid q(q \pm 1)$  at the very first attempt.

## 4 The GAP code.

The algorithm was tested in GAP<sup>1</sup>. The Appendix contains a (very primitive) GAP source code `Bn-v-Cn.g`, which generates (at random) a group  $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$  or  $\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}(q)$  and initiates the functions `Test(G)` and `PlainTest(G)` which determine the type of the group  $G$  with the help of the algorithm described in this paper, with (`Test(G)`) or without (`PlainTest(G)`) shortcuts in Step 4.

The functions `PlainTest(G)` and `Test(G)` return the list of 4 integers. Of these,

- The first position is 0 or 1, according to the answer *symplectic* or *\*orthogonal* given by the algorithm.
- The second position is the rule number used in this decision (used for the debugging of the algorithm).
- The third position is the dimension of the eigenspace for the eigenvalue  $-1$  of the involution used in the identification of the group this information is used only for the debugging of the algorithm).
- The fourth number is the numbers of re-runs of the test if the first run was inconclusive.

I wish to emphasise that this GAP code was written only for checking the algorithm and is not designed for the practical use. In particular, it works with the groups  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$  and  $\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}(q)$  instead of  $\mathrm{PSp}_{2n}(q)$  and  $\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ , although all computations are done in the way which makes sense in the factor group modulo the scalars and in the commutator group; in particular, it works, instead of involutions, with *pseudoinvolutions*, that is, elements of order 2 or 4 whose images modulo the scalars are involutions.

To see how the code works, enter at the GAP command prompt:

```
gap> Read("Bn-v-Cn.g"); test:=Test(G); Interpret(test);
```

or

```
gap> Read("Bn-v-Cn.g"); test:=PlainTest(G); Interpret(test);
```

To check the answer, enter

```
gap> G;
```

I have to warn, however, that `PlainTest(G)` takes considerable time to produce the answer if  $G$  is the orthogonal group.

So far more than 2000 experiments with the function `Test(G)` have not yield a single wrong answer, while `PlainTest(G)` erred once in 600 experiments.

This was my first ever attempt to write in GAP, and I bravely ignored the good programming practice; in particular, the global variables `q`, `n`, `type` (0 or 1 for symplectic or orthogonal) for generation of a black box group can be changed only in the file `Bn-v-Cn.g`, which after that has to be read again to generate all the required functions.

<sup>1</sup>The software package GAP is available, for variety of platforms, from <http://aldebaran.math.rwth-aachen.de/~GAP/>

Also, I am using the function `Order(element)`, to make the code simpler; this is not necessary, of course, and it can be replaced by raising the element in the appropriate powers as discussed in [1]. This would make it possible to work in "large" groups.

Structurally, I was not concerned with the optimal branching in the program. I intentionally wanted all branches being regularly visited, although, in many cases, this could be avoided.

## References

- [1] C. Altseimer and A. Borovik, 'Probabilistic recognition of orthogonal and symplectic groups', *Groups and Computations III*, (eds W. M.Kantor and A. Seress), de Gruyter, Berlin and New York, 2001, pp. 1–20.
- [2] E. Artin, *Geometric Algebra*, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1957.
- [3] R. W. Carter, *Simple Groups of Lie Type* (John Wiley and Sons, London a.o., 1972).
- [4] R. Carter, *Finite Groups of Lie Type: Conjugacy Classes and Complex Characters*, Wiley, 1985.
- [5] D. Gorenstein, R. Lyons and R. Solomon, 'The classification of the finite simple groups 3', *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs* 40, AMS, Providence, 1998.
- [6] D. E. Taylor, *The Geometry of the Classical Groups* (Heldermann, Berlin, 1992).
- [7] K. Zsigmondy, 'Zur Theorie der Potenzreste', *Monatsh. für Math. u. Phys.* (3) (1892) 265–284.

## Appendix: GAP code

```
#####
###
### Bn-v-Cn.g
###
### A. V. Borovik, April 2001
###
### The algorithm tests involutions in orthogonal and symplectic groups
### thus distinguishing between the two.
### Given a linear group  $G = \text{PSp}(2n, q)$  or  $\text{Omega}(2n+1, q)$ ,
### with known  $q$  and  $n$ ,
### the algorithm determines whether  $G$  is symplectic or
### orthogonal.
### The algorithm implemented here contains a fix for a bug
### in the original theoretic version,
### C. Altseimer and A. Borovik, "Probabilistic recognition
### of orthogonal and symplectic groups",
### Groups and Computations III, (eds W. M. Kantor and A.
### Seress), de Gruyter, Berlin and New York, 2001, pp. 1-20.
###
### This code was not designed for the practical use,
### but just for checking the theoretical algorithm.
### In particular, since I had no means for generation of
### black boxes for  $\text{PSp}(2n, q)$  for large  $n$  and  $q$ ,
### the algorithm actually works with  $\text{Sp}(2n, q)$  instead of
###  $\text{PSp}(2n, q)$ , but make only those group calculations
### which make sense in its factor group  $\text{PSp}(2n, q)$ .
### In particular, it works with "pseudoinvolutions",
### elements of order 2 or 4 which have order 2 in  $G/Z(G)$ .
###
### Recommended use:
### gap> Read("Bn-v-Cn.g"); test:=Test(G); Interpret(test);
### or
### gap> Read("Bn-v-Cn.g"); test:=PlainTest(G); Interpret(test);
### To check the answer, enter
### gap> G;
#####

### Global constants: q, n, type, G, effort

q:= Random([5,7,9,11,13,17,19,23,25,27,31]);

if q > 19 then          ### selection of the dimension of
  n:= Random([3..6]);   ### of the group with the aim to
fi;                     ### keep the exponent at
if q > 10 and q < 20 then ### computationally feasible size
  n:= Random([3..12]);
fi;
if q < 10 then
  n:= Random([3..20]);
fi;
```

```

type:= Random([0,1]);  ### type = 0 means symplectic
                        ### type = 1 means orthogonal

if type = 1 then
    G:=SO(2*n+1,q);    ### Generation of the group G, type =1
else
    G:=Sp(2*n,q);      ### Generation of the group G, type = 0
fi;

effort := 2;           ### This parameter determines how hard
                        ### we are looking for the witness
                        ### elements. Most searches make
                        ### effort * n attempts to find a
                        ### "big" element
                        ### Effort =4 corresponds to the theoretical threshold:
                        ### in the group Sp(2n,q) or Omega(2n+1,q),
                        ### a random element is a regular element
                        ### in a maximal twisted torus of order
                        ###  $q^n - 1$  or  $q^{n+1}$  with probability  $> 1/4n$ .

###
### Functions
###

OddPart := function(nn)
### Odd part of the integer nn
    local m;
    m :=nn;
    while m mod 2 = 0 do
        m := m/2;
    od;
    return m;
end;

EvenPart := function(nn);
### Even part of the integer nn

    return nn/OddPart(nn);
end;

PrimitiveDivisor := function(qq,nn)
### Finds the largest divisor of the number  $qq^{nn} - 1$ 
### which has no non-trivial divisors in common with
### any of the numbers  $qq^l - 1$  for  $l < nn$ .

    local pd,ll,gcd,ii;
    pd := qq^{nn}-1;
    for ii in [1..nn-1] do
        ll := qq^{ii}-1;
        gcd := Gcd(pd,ll);
        while gcd > 1 do
            pd := pd/gcd;
        end;
    end;
end;

```

```

        gcd:= Gcd(pd,gcd);
    od;
od;
return pd;
end;

```

```

PseudoInvolution := function(g)
### Finds an element in the cyclic group <g>
### which is an involution in G/Z(G)
### The function uses the standard GAP function Order(g)
### which uses prime factorisation and does not work in big
### groups. However, it is easy to eliminate calls to Order
### and replace them by exponentiation.

```

```

    local order, i, rank;
    order := Order(g);
    if order mod 2 = 1 then
        return g^0;
    else
        i := g^(order/2);
    fi;

    rank := Rank(i+i^2);
    if (rank = 0 and type = 0) then
        if order mod 4 <> 0 then
            return g^0;
        else i := g^(order/4);
        fi;
    fi;
    return i;
end;

```

```

InCentraliser := function(j)
### Returns an element from the centraliser C_G(j)
### Most of the elements produced by this function are
### involutions; their distribution in C_G(j) is
### invariant under action of C_G(j) by conjugation
### Some (relatively small) portion of elements is
### produced by a different algorithm  $\zeta_1$  in
### notation of our paper; these elements are distributed
### uniformly in the group C_G(j).

```

```

    local gg,y,o,z,c;
    gg := PseudoRandom(G);
    y := j * j^gg;
    o := Order(y);
    if j^4 <> j^2 then
        if (o = 1 or o = 2 or o = 4) then
            z := y;
        fi;

        if o mod 4 = 0 then
            if y^(o/2) = j^2 then

```

```

        z := y^(o/4);
    else
        z:= y^(o/2);
    fi;
fi;

if o mod 4 = 2 then
    if y^(o/2) = j^2 then
        z := gg*y^(((o/2)-1)/2);
    else
        z:=j;
    fi;
fi;

if o mod 2 = 1 then
    z := gg*y^((o-1)/2);
fi;

else
    if o mod 2 = 0 then
        z := y^(o/2);
    else
        z := gg*y^((o-1)/2);
    fi;
fi;
return z;
end;

OccurrencesOfPrimitiveDivisors := function(m)
### Finds all integers k such that m is divisible by
### a primitive prime divisor of q^k-1.

    local tuple, k;
    tuple := 0*[1..2*n];
    for k in [1..2*n] do
        if Gcd(PrimitiveDivisor(q,k),m) > 1 then
            tuple[k] :=k;
        fi;
    od;
    return tuple;
end;

PrimitiveDivisorRankOfElement := function(g)
### Finds the maximal integer k =< 2*n such that
### the order of the element g is divisible
### by a primitive prime divisor of q^n-1
### (remember, q and n are global constants).
### Again, calls to Order(g) can be eliminated

    return Maximum(OccurrencesOfPrimitiveDivisors(Order(g)));
end;

IsGood := function(g)
### Tests whether the element g is good or not.

```

```

    if (q^n - 1) mod 4 = 0 then
        return (PrimitiveDivisorRankOfElement(g) = n and EvenPart(Ord(g)) =
(EvenPart(q^n-1)/(2^type)));
    fi;
    if (q^n + 1) mod 4 = 0 then
        return (PrimitiveDivisorRankOfElement(g) = 2*n and EvenPart(Ord(g))=
(EvenPart(q^n+1)/(2^type)));
    fi;
end;

GoodElement := function(G)
### Finds a good element in the group G,
### of course, under the assumption that
### G = Sp(2*n,q) or SO(0,2*n+1,q);
### in the latter case, the good element
### is actually found in the commutator group
### Omega(2*n+1,q) of SO(0,2*n+1,q).

    local g1, g2, g;
    if type = 1 then
        g1:=PseudoRandom(G);
        g2:=PseudoRandom(G);
        g :=g1^-1*g2^-1*g1*g2;
        while IsGood(g) = false do
            g1:=PseudoRandom(G);
            g2:=PseudoRandom(G);
            g :=g1^-1*g2^-1*g1*g2;
        od;
    else
        g:=PseudoRandom(G);
        while IsGood(g) = false do
            g:=PseudoRandom(G);
        od;
    fi;
    return g;
end;

TypeOfCentraliser := function(j)
### Returns one of the lists [], [1], [2], and [1,2],
### depending on whether an element of order dividing
### a primitive prime divisor of q^(n-1)-1 or a
### primitive prime divisor of q^(n-1)+1 is found in C_G(j)
### (this adds 1 or 2 to the list, correspondingly).
###
### The procedure is pretty slow; it can be made faster
### by a better way of generating random elements in C_G(j)
### (for example, the use of the Product Replacement Algorithm
### should considerably speed it up).

    local x, maxppd, typeOfC, counter;
    x := InCentraliser(j);
    maxppd :=0;

```



```

typeOfC := Set([]);
counter := 0;
while typeOfC <> Set([1,2]) and counter < effort*n do
  x := x * InCentraliser(j);
  maxppd := PrimitiveDivisorRankOfElement(x);
  if maxppd = n-1 then
    Add(typeOfC, 1);
  fi;
  if maxppd = 2*(n-1) then
    Add(typeOfC, 2);
  fi;
  counter := counter + 1;
od;
return Set(typeOfC);
end;

ProductsOfConjugates := function(j)
### Determines how big is the primitive divisor rank
### of the product  $j * j^x$  of the element  $j$  and
### its random conjugate  $j^x$ .

local x, x2, y, maxppd, counter;
maxppd := 0;
counter := 0;
if type = 0 then
  while (maxppd < 8 and counter < effort*n) do
    x := PseudoRandom(G);
    y := j * j^x;
    maxppd := Maximum([maxppd, PrimitiveDivisorRankOfElement(y)]);
    counter := counter + 1;
  od;
else
  while (maxppd < 8 and counter < effort*n) do
    x := PseudoRandom(G);
    x2 := PseudoRandom(G);
    x := x^-1 * x2^-1 * x * x2;
    y := j * j^x;
    maxppd := Maximum([maxppd, PrimitiveDivisorRankOfElement(y)]);
    counter := counter + 1;
  od;
fi;
return maxppd;
end;

CriticalInvolution := function(G)
### Finds an involution  $j$  in the cyclic group generated by a good element,
### with the extra property that the centraliser  $C_G(j)$  has an element
### whose order is divisible by a primitive prime divisor of  $q^{(n-1)-1}$ 
### or  $q^{(n-1)+1}$ .

local x, j, typeOfC;
typeOfC := [];
x := GoodElement(G);
j := PseudoInvolution(x);
while (typeOfC = Set([]) or j=j^2) do

```

```

    x := GoodElement(G);
    j := PseudoInvolution(x);
    typeOfC := TypeOfCentraliser(j);
  od;
  return j;
end;

PreTest := function(G)
### Tests the group G for being symplectic or orthogonal
### The result may be inconclusive, in which case
### the test has to be repeated.

local g, j, typeOfC, conj, conj2, x, y, z, minus, plus, maxppd,
evenpart, counter, maxppd2, counter2;

### The case when n =3

if n = 3 then
  g := GoodElement(G);
  j := PseudoInvolution(g);
  conj := ProductsOfConjugates(j);
  counter := 0;
  while conj < 3 and counter < effort * n do
    conj2 := ProductsOfConjugates(j);
    conj := Maximum([conj, conj2]);
    counter := counter + 1;
  od;
  if conj < 3 then
    return [1, 13, Rank(j-j^2)/2];
  else
    return [0, 13, Rank(j-j^2)/2];
  fi;
fi;

### The general case
j := CriticalInvolution(G);
conj := ProductsOfConjugates(j);
if conj > 7 then
  return [0, 1, Rank(j-j^2)/2];
fi;

typeOfC := TypeOfCentraliser(j);
if typeOfC = [1,2] and conj = 4 then
  return [1,2, Rank(j-j^2)/2];
fi;
if n mod 4 = 2 and q mod 4 = 1 and typeOfC = [1] and conj = 6 then
  return [1,3, Rank(j-j^2)/2];
fi;
if n mod 4 = 2 and q mod 4 = 3 and typeOfC = [2] and conj = 6 then
  return [1,4, Rank(j-j^2)/2];
fi;
if n mod 4 = 0 and typeOfC = [1,2] and conj < 3 then
  return [1,5, Rank(j-j^2)/2];
fi;

```

```

if n mod 2 = 1 and typeOfC = [1,2] and conj < 3 then
    return [1,6, Rank(j-j^2)/2];
fi;

if n mod 2 = 0 and typeOfC = [1,2] and conj < 3 then
    #####
    minus := (EvenPart(q^(n-1)-1) mod 4 <> 0);
    plus := (EvenPart(q^(n-1)+1) mod 4 <> 0);
    x := InCentraliser(j);

    if minus then
        maxppd := 0;
        evenpart := 1;
        counter := 0;
        while (maxppd <> n-1 or evenpart < 4) and counter < 3*effort*n do
            x := x * InCentraliser(j);
            maxppd := PrimitiveDivisorRankOfElement(x);
            evenpart := EvenPart(Order(x));
            counter := counter + 1;
        od;
        if (maxppd <> n-1 or evenpart < 4) then
            return [1,7, Rank(j-j^2)/2];
        fi;
    fi;

    if plus then
        maxppd := 0;
        evenpart := 1;
        counter := 0;
        while (maxppd <> 2*(n-1) or evenpart < 4) and counter < 3*effort*n do
            x := x * InCentraliser(j);
            maxppd := PrimitiveDivisorRankOfElement(x);
            evenpart := EvenPart(Order(x));
            counter := counter + 1;
        od;
        if (maxppd <> 2*(n-1) or evenpart < 4) then
            return [1,8, Rank(j-j^2)/2];
        fi;
    fi;

    y := x^(Order(x)/evenpart);
    counter2 := 0;
    maxppd2 := 0;
    while (maxppd2 < 3 and counter2 < effort*n) do
        x := x * InCentraliser(j);
        z := y * y^x;
        maxppd2 := Maximum([maxppd2, PrimitiveDivisorRankOfElement(z)]);
        counter2 := counter2 + 1;
    od;

    if maxppd2 < 3 then
        return [0,9, Rank(j-j^2)/2];
    fi;
    if maxppd2 > 2 then
        return [1,10, Rank(j-j^2)/2];
    fi;

```

```

        fi;

        ####
    fi;

    if n > 3 then
        return [-1, 11, Rank(j-j^2)/2];
    fi;

end;

Test := function(G)
### PreTest(G) is repeated until we get a conclusive answer

    local pretest, counter;
    pretest := PreTest(G);

    if pretest[1] = 0 or pretest[1] = 1 then
        Add(pretest, 0);
        return pretest;
    fi;

    counter := 0;
    while pretest[1] = -1 do
        pretest := PreTest(G);
        counter := counter + 1;
    od;
    #Add(pretest, 0); Add(pretest, 0); Add(pretest, 0);
    Add(pretest, counter);
    return pretest;
end;

PlainPreTest := function(G)

### Tests the group G for being
### symplectic or orthogonal.
### No shortcuts in the algorithm.
### The result may be inconclusive,
### in which case the test has to be repeated.

    local g, j, typeOfC, conj;
    g := GoodElement(G);
    #g := PseudoRandom(G);
    j := PseudoInvolution(g);
    while j = j^0 do
        g := GoodElement(G);
        #g := PseudoRandom(G);
        j := PseudoInvolution(g);
    od;
    conj := ProductsOfConjugates(j);
    typeOfC := TypeOfCentraliser(j);
    if (conj > 7 and typeOfC <> []) or (conj > 2 and n = 3) then
        return [0, 14, Rank(j-j^2)/2];
    else

```

```

        return [-1, 14, Rank(j-j^2)/2];
    fi;
end;

PlainTest := function(G)

### PlainPreTest(G) is repeated until we get a conclusive answer.

    local pretest, counter;
    pretest := PlainPreTest(G);

    if pretest[1] = 0 or pretest[1] = 1 then
        Add(pretest, 0);
        return pretest;
    fi;

    counter := 0;
    while pretest[1] = -1 and counter < effort*n do
        pretest := PlainPreTest(G);
        counter := counter + 1;
    od;
    #Add(pretest, 0); Add(pretest, 0); Add(pretest, 0);
    Add(pretest, counter);
    if counter > effort*n-1 then
        pretest[1] := 1;
    fi;
    return pretest;
end;

Interpret := function(test)
### Interpretation of the test result

    local l;
    l := Length(test);
    if test[1] = 0 then
        Print(" The group is symplectic.\n");
    fi;

    if test[1] = 1 then
        Print(" The group is orthogonal.\n");
    fi;

    if test[2] = 1 then
        Print(" This is the DEFINITE answer because the test has found an involution j \n");
        Print(" whose centraliser C_G(j) contains an element of\n");
        Print(" order divisible by a primitive prime divisor of q^(n-1)-1 \n");
        Print(" or q^(n-1)+1, and such that, in addition, there is a product\n");
        Print(" j*j^x of two involutions conjugate to j whose order is divisible\n");
        Print(" by a primitive prime divisor of q^k-1 for some k>6;\n");
        Print(" this is possible only for an involution of type t_n in the symplectic group.\n");
    fi;

    if test[2] = 2 then
        Print(" An involution j was found whose centraliser C_G(j) contains\n");
        Print(" elements of order divisible by primitive prime divisors of the both\n");
        Print(" q^(n-1)-1 or q^(n-1)+1, and with the maximum of the primitive prime\n");

```

```

Print(" divisor ranks of random products of conjugates  $j^x * j^y$  being \n");
Print(" equal 4. This almost certainly identifies this involution  $j$  \n");
Print(" as involution of type  $t_1$  in  $SO(2n+1, q)$ . \n");
Print(" To be on the safe side, repeat the test. \n");
fi;

if test[2] = 3 or test[2] = 4 then
  Print(" In short, the test found an involution which behaves as an \n");
  Print(" involution of type  $t_{n-1}$  in  $SO(2n+1, q)$ . \n");
  Print(" this is not a very conclusive answer; to be on the safe side, \n");
  Print(" repeat the test. \n");
fi;

if test[2] = 5 or test[2] = 6 then
  Print(" In short, the test found an involution which behaves as an \n");
  Print(" involution of type  $t_n$  in  $SO(2n+1, q)$ . \n");
  Print(" This is not a very conclusive answer; to be on the safe side, \n");
  Print(" repeat the test. \n");
fi;

if test[2] = 7 or test[2] = 8 or test[2] = 10 then
  Print(" The test found an involution  $j$  which behaves either as an involution \n");
  Print(" of type  $t_1$  in  $PSp(2n, q)$ , or as an involution of type  $t_n$  in \n");
  Print("  $\Omega(2n+1, q)$ ; further distinction was made by not being able to find \n");
  Print(" a 2-element from the component of type  $SL(2, q)$  which should be present \n");
  Print(" in the centraliser  $C_G(j)$  in the symplectic case. \n");
  Print(" To be on the safe side, repeat the test. \n");
fi;

if test[2] = 9 then
  Print(" The test found an involution  $j$  which behaves either as an involution \n");
  Print(" of type  $t_1 = t_{n-1}$  in  $PSp(2n, q)$ , or as an involution of type  $t_n$  in \n");
  Print("  $\Omega(2n+1, q)$ ; further distinction was made by being able to find \n");
  Print(" a 2-element in  $C_G(j)$  which behaves as if it belongs to \n");
  Print(" the component of type  $SL(2, q)$  which should be present \n");
  Print(" in the centraliser  $C_G(j)$  in the symplectic case. \n");
  Print(" To be on the safe side, repeat the test. \n");
fi;

if test[1] = 0 and test[2] = 13 then
  Print(" Since  $n = 3$ , the test is much simpler: we found an involution  $j$  \n");
  Print(" generated by a good element and such that the primitive prime divisor rank \n");
  Print(" of some random product  $j^x * j^y$  of conjugates of  $j$  is at least 3. \n");
  Print(" This DEFINITELY identifies the group as symplectic. \n");
fi;

if test[1] = 1 and test[2] = 13 then
  Print(" Since  $n = 3$ , the test is much simpler: we found an involution  $j$  \n");
  Print(" generated by a good element with the primitive prime divisor ranks \n");
  Print(" of a random products of conjugates  $j^x * j^y$  at most 2. \n");
  Print(" This almost definitely identifies the group as orthogonal. \n");
  Print(" To be on the safe side, repeat the test. \n");
fi;

if test[1] = 0 and test[2] = 14 then
  Print(" The programme found an involution which behaves as \n");

```

```

    Print(" involution  of type t_n in PSp(2n,q). \n");
    Print(" This is a DEFINITE answer given by  \n");
    Print(" a plain (without shortcuts) version of the algorithm.\n");
fi;

if test[1] = 1 and test[2] = 14 then
    Print(" The algorithm has not found an involution which behaves as \n");
    Print(" involution  of type t_n in PSp(2n,q). \n");
    Print(" This is NOT a definite answer, and it was given by  \n");
    Print(" a plain without shortcuts) version of the algorithm.\n");
    Print(" To be on the safe side, repeat the test.\n");
fi;

if l > 4 then
    Print(" The first run of the test has not produced the conclusive result,\n");
    Print(" the test was repeated ", test[1], " times.\n");
    Print(" The above conclusion is generated at the last run of the test.\n");
fi;
Print("\n");
Print(" In the lists Test(G) and PlainTest(G), the first position is 0 or 1,\n");
Print(" according to the answer\n");
Print(" *symplectic* or *orthogonal* given by the test, the second position is\n");
Print(" the rule number used in this decision, the third position is the actual type\n");
Print(" of the involution used in the identification of the group; \n");
Print(" (the latter was only used for the debugging of the algorithm).\n");
Print(" The fourth number is the numbers of re-runs of the test\n");
Print(" when the first run was inconclusive.\n");
end;

```